

Raman, Sujit (ODAG)

From: Raman, Sujit (ODAG)
Sent: Wednesday, July 4, 2018 10:17 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Cc: Bolitho, Zachary (ODAG); O'Callaghan, Edward C. (ODAG)
Subject: RE: Introduction and CH1

This is my assessment of the relevant universe of statements worth flagging. As far as I can tel (b) (5)
[redacted]
[redacted] but I wanted to flag for your awareness:

1 (b) (5) [redacted]

2 (b) (5) [redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

3 (b) (5) [redacted]
[redacted]

(b) (5) [redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

5) (b) (5) [redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted].

6) (b) (5) [redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

(b) (5) [redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

8 (b) (5) [redacted]

(b) (5) [Redacted]

9 (b)(5) per OIP; (b)(5), (b)(7)(E) per FBI [Redacted]

10 (b) (5) [Redacted]

11 (b) (5) [Redacted]

12 (b) (5) [Redacted]

13 (b) (5) [Redacted] (b)(5) per OIP; (b)(5), (b)(7)(E) per FBI [Redacted]

14) (b) (5) [Redacted]

15 (b) (5) [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

Happy to adjust the language in any way that would be helpful.

16 (b) (5) [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

1 (b) (5) [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

18 (b) (5) [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

19 (b) (5) [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

20 (b) (5) [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

21 (b) (5) [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

22 (b) (5) [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

23 (b) (5) [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

24 (b) (5) [Redacted]
[Redacted]

(b) (5)

Overall, I don't think anything in the report is particularly surprising. That said, many of the flagged issues are controversial, especially among those who self-identify as the privacy and civil liberties community. Those individuals will probably level criticisms, but that tends to be their reaction to anything that is perceived as pro-law enforcement.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

-----Original Message-----

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

Sent: Wednesday, July 4, 2018 5:53 PM

To: Raman, Sujit (ODAG) (b) (6) >

Cc: Bolitho, Zachary (ODAG) (b) (6) >; O'Callaghan, Edward C. (ODAG)

(b) (6) >

Subject: Re: Introduction and CH1

I want to fla (b) (5)

The most careful readers of this report will be people searching for reasons to criticize the AG and me.

> On Jul 4, 2018, at 5:41 PM, Raman, Sujit (ODAG) (b) (6) > wrote:

>

>

> Thanks. In response to your questions:

>

> 1) Yes. The entire report has personally cleared the AAGs of NSD, CRM, and OLP, as well as Director Wray. In that vein, each specific chapter (1 = NSD; 2, 3 = CRM; 4 = FBI; 6 = OLP) had a "lead" component in charge, and has been approved by the relevant AAG who would stand behind it. (Chapter 5, dealing with training, should be non-controversial.)

>

> 2) The fix to the PRTT statute and the "ECTR fix" are long-running Departmental priorities, and I shared Chapter 6 with OLA in draft form and didn't receive any objections. That said, we can (b) (5)

[Redacted]

[Redacted] If possible, please review and make any edits to the attached.

>

> 3) I would prefe (b) (5)

[Redacted]

[Redacted] But this report is not intended to be a comprehensive strategy document and I'm concerned the press and the public, which in many quarters is already not well-disposed to anything coming out of the administration, will pan the report for not having detailed answers for each of the questions posed in the tasking memo. That would be unfortunate, and unfair to the many folks (both career employees and political appointees) who spent hundreds of hours putting it together.

>
> Please let me know with any additional questions.
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
> Sent: Wednesday, July 4, 2018 4:55 PM
> To: Raman, Sujit (ODAG) (b) (6) >
> Cc: Bolitho, Zachary (ODAG) (b) (6) >; O'Callaghan, Edward C. (ODAG)
> (b) (6) >
> Subject: Re: Introduction and CH1
>
> I do not plan to line edit the rest of the text. Is there a supervisor who will stand behind each chapter as written?
>
> The issues that should be flagged for the AG and me are (b) (5)
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] ?
>
> In contrast (b) (5)
[REDACTED]
>
> Relatedly, is it correct to say at page ix that the report addresses only the question of how DOJ is responding to cyber threats, and not how federal law enforcement can more effectively accomplish its mission?
>
>> On Jul 4, 2018, at 4:21 PM, Raman, Sujit (ODAG) (b) (6) > wrote:
>>
>>
>> I don't know. I know his staff briefed him and I assume they focused his attention on the key issues. As you know, he has already been briefed on the major themes of Chapter 1 and communicated, through staff, that he is fine with it.
>>
>>
>>> On Jul 4, 2018, at 4:09 PM, Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG) (b) (6) > wrote:
>>>
>>> Do you think the AG read it?
>>>
>>>> On Jul 4, 2018, at 4:06 PM, Raman, Sujit (ODAG) (b) (6) > wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> According to OAG, the AG was satisfied with the version that they asked me to send them last week, which they sent to Chris Krebs at DHS. If there are major substantive changes still forthcoming, I'm not really sure how to answer your question. I will of course take responsibility for missing the AG's deadline of June 30. I would hope we would not have to ask our OPA to correct the statement made to the press stating that we submitted this to him on time, but if folks think that would be required, I'll do that as well.
>>>>

>>>>

>>>>> On Jul 4, 2018, at 3:43 PM, Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG) (b) (6) > wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>> Let's not be constrained by an artificial goal of distributing hard copies at Aspen. Even if I finish by tomorrow, what if the AG is not happy with my final draft?

>>>>>

>>>>>> On Jul 4, 2018, at 10:00 AM, Raman, Sujit (ODAG) (b) (6) > wrote:

>>>>>>

Duplicative Material (Document ID: 0.7.22218.382729)